Neural Networks: Architectures

Biological Neurons: Complex connectivity patterns



This image is CC0 Public Domain

Neurons in a neural network: Organized into regular layers for computational efficiency



CS231n: Convolutional Neural Networks for Visual Recognition



Activation Functions



Leaky ReLU $\max(0.1x, x)$



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Maxout} \\ \max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2) \end{array}$



Problem: Linear Classifiers are not very powerful

Visual Viewpoint



Linear classifiers learn one template per class

Geometric Viewpoint



Linear classifiers can only draw linear decision boundaries

One Solution: Feature Transformation





Color Histogram



Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HoG)





Fully Connected Layer

32x32x3 image -> stretch to 3072 x 1



Convolution Layer (1)



Convolution Layer (2)



Convolution Layer (3)



3

For example, if we had 6 5x5 filters, we'll get 6 separate activation maps:



We stack these up to get a "new image" of size 28x28x6!

Preview: ConvNet is a sequence of Convolution Layers, interspersed with activation functions





Output Size

Ν



Output size: (N - F) / stride + 1

In practice: Common to zero pad the border



e.g. input 7x7 3x3 filter, applied with stride 1 pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

7x7 output!

(recall:) (N + 2P - F) / stride + 1



e.g. input 7x7 3x3 filter, applied with stride 1 pad with 1 pixel border => what is the output?

7x7 output!

in general, common to see CONV layers with
stride 1, filters of size FxF, and zero-padding with
(F-1)/2. (will preserve size spatially)
e.g. F = 3 => zero pad with 1
F = 5 => zero pad with 2

```
F = 7 => zero pad with 3
```

Convolution layer: summary

Let's assume input is $W_1 \times H_1 \times C$ Conv layer needs 4 hyperparameters: F = 3, S = 1, P = 1F = 5, S = 1, P = 2

- Number of filters K
- The filter size F
- The stride S
- The zero padding P

This will produce an output of $W_2 \times H_2 \times K$ where:

- $W_2 = (W_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$
- $H_2 = (H_1 F + 2P)/S + 1$

Number of parameters: F²CK and K biases

Common settings:

```
K = (powers of 2, e.g. 32, 64, 128, 512)
F = 3, S = 1, P = 1
F = 5, S = 1, P = 2
F = 5, S = 2, P = ? (whatever fits)
```

```
- F = 1, S = 1, P = 0
```

Pooling layer

- makes the representations smaller and more manageable
- operates over each activation map independently:



Max Pooling

Single depth slice

y



max pool with 2x2 filters and stride 2







Figure 2: An illustration of the architecture of our CNN, explicitly showing the delineation of responsibilities between the two GPUs. One GPU runs the layer-parts at the top of the figure while the other runs the layer-parts at the bottom. The GPUs communicate only at certain layers. The network's input is 150,528-dimensional, and the number of neurons in the network's remaining layers is given by 253,440–186,624–64,896–64,896–43,264–4096–4096–1000.

Summary

- ConvNets stack CONV,POOL,FC layers
- Trend towards smaller filters and deeper architectures
- Trend towards getting rid of POOL/FC layers (just CONV)
- Historically architectures looked like

[(CONV-RELU)*N-POOL?]*M-(FC-RELU)*K,SOFTMAX where N is usually up to ~5, M is large, 0 <= K <= 2.

 but recent advances such as ResNet/GoogLeNet have challenged this paradigm

